

# Stone type dualities in nominal sets

Daniela Petrişan

joint work with Murdoch Gabbay and Tadeusz Litak

April 27, 2011

# Overview

1. Boolean algebras and distributive lattices internal in nominal sets with restriction
2. Nominal topological spaces
3. Stone type duality theorems

# Motivation

- Why nominal sets/nominal restriction sets?  
A: These categories are important models for semantics of programming languages involving binding.

# Motivation

- Why nominal sets/nominal restriction sets?  
A: These categories are important models for semantics of programming languages involving binding.
- Why dualities in nominal setting?  
A1: Classical Stone duality is non-constructive. It is an interesting mathematical question to see whether it can be internalized in other categories than  $\text{Set}$ . Banaschewski and Bhutani showed Stone representation holds in a localic topos iff the axiom of choice does.

# Motivation

- Why nominal sets/nominal restriction sets?  
A: These categories are important models for semantics of programming languages involving binding.
- Why dualities in nominal setting?  
A1: Classical Stone duality is non-constructive. It is an interesting mathematical question to see whether it can be internalized in other categories than  $\text{Set}$ . Banaschewski and Bhutani showed Stone representation holds in a localic topos iff the axiom of choice does.
- A2: Possible applications  
Colagebraic logic in nominal sets. Coalgebras over nominal sets have been previously considered, for example to model  $\pi$ -calculus.  
Nominal domain theory in logical form, a la Abramsky?

# Nominal Sets

We fix an infinite countable set of names  $\mathbb{A}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{A})$  denote the group of permutations on  $\mathbb{A}$  generated by transpositions.

## Definition

Consider a  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{A})$ -action  $(\mathbb{X}, \cdot)$  and an element  $x \in \mathbb{X}$ . We say that a set of names  $A \subseteq \mathbb{A}$  *supports*  $x$  if for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{A} \setminus A$  we have  $(a\ b) \cdot x = x$ .

We say that  $x$  is *finitely-supported* if there exists finite  $A \subseteq \mathbb{A}$  that supports  $x$ .

## Definition

A *nominal set* is a  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbb{A})$ -action  $(\mathbb{X}, \cdot)$  such that each element of  $\mathbb{X}$  is finitely-supported.

# Examples

1. The set of names can be equipped with the 'evaluation' action defined by

$$\pi \cdot a = \pi(a).$$

2. The finite and cofinite sets of atoms with the pointwise action

$$\pi \cdot X = \{\pi(x) \mid x \in X\}$$

3. The set of equivalence classes of lambda terms

# The category of nominal sets $\text{Nom}$

- Finite limits are preserved by the forgetful functor  $U : \text{Nom} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ .
- $\text{Nom}$  is cartesian closed:  $[X, Y]$  is the nominal set of finitely supported functions wrt to the action

$$(\pi \cdot f)(x) = \pi \cdot_Y f(\pi^{-1} \cdot_X x)$$

- $2$  with the trivial action is a subobject classifier.
- $\text{Nom}$  is equivalent to a category of sheaves, i.e. the pullback preserving functors in  $[\mathbb{I}, \text{Set}]$ .
- We have the power object functor  $\mathcal{P} : \text{Nom} \rightarrow \text{Nom}^{op}$   
 $\mathcal{P}(X) = [X, 2]$



## Internalizing Stone representation in Nom

A **nominal Boolean algebra internal in Nom** is a tuple  $(B, \wedge, \neg)$  of a nonempty nominal set  $B$ , and equivariant functions

*conjunction*  $\wedge : B \times B \rightarrow B$

*negation*  $\neg : B \rightarrow B$

satisfying the usual axioms of BA.

A **filter**  $F$  for a nominal Boolean algebra  $B$  is a finitely-supported subset of  $B$  satisfying the usual properties.

The problem with the Ultrafilter Theorem in Nom: An arbitrary union of filters may no longer be finitely-supported!

# Freshness

Given a nominal set  $X$  and  $x \in X$  we say  $a \# x$  iff  $a \in \mathbb{A} \setminus \text{supp}(x)$ .

## Definition

If  $\phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a formula describing properties of elements of a nominal set  $X$  and names in  $\mathbb{A}$  we say  $\forall a. \phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  if  $\{a \in \mathbb{A} \mid \phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n)\}$  is cofinite, or  $\phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  holds for cofinitely many  $a$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent:*

$$\exists a \in \mathbb{A}. a \# x_1, \dots, x_n \wedge \phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{A}. a \# x_1, \dots, x_n \Rightarrow \phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

$$\forall a. \phi(a, x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

$$\forall a. (\phi \wedge \psi) \text{ iff } \forall a. \phi \wedge \forall a. \psi$$

$$\forall a. \neg \phi \text{ iff } \neg \forall a. \phi$$

# Restriction on power objects

## Definition

If  $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$  then define

$$na.Y = \{x \mid \forall b.(b a) \cdot x \in Y\}.$$

## Connection with $\mathbb{I}$

$\forall a.\phi(a)$  if and only if  $a \in na.\{x \in \mathbb{A} \mid \phi(x)\}$ .

## Axioms for restriction

<b>(Swap)</b>		$na.nb.X = nb.na.X$
<b>(Garbage)</b>	$a\#X \Rightarrow$	$na.X = X$
<b>(Alpha)</b>	$b\#X \Rightarrow$	$na.X = nb.(b a) \cdot X$
<b>(Distrib)</b>		$na.(X \wedge Y) = (na.X) \wedge (na.Y)$
<b>(SelfDual)</b>		$\neg na.X = na.\neg X$

# Nominal sets and nominal Boolean algebras with restriction

## Definition

A nominal restriction set is a nominal set  $X$  equipped with a restriction operation  $\iota : \mathbb{A} \times X \rightarrow X$  that satisfies:

<b>(Swap)</b>		$\iota a. \iota b. x = \iota b. \iota a. x$
<b>(Garbage)</b>	$a \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = x$
<b>(Alpha)</b>	$b \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = \iota b. (b \ a) \cdot x$

# Nominal sets and nominal Boolean algebras with restriction

## Definition

A nominal Boolean algebra with restriction is a nominal Boolean algebra  $B$  equipped with a restriction operation  $\iota : \mathbb{A} \times B \rightarrow B$  that satisfies:

<b>(Swap)</b>		$\iota a. \iota b. x = \iota b. \iota a. x$
<b>(Garbage)</b>	$a \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = x$
<b>(Alpha)</b>	$b \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = \iota b. (b \ a) \cdot x$
<b>(Distrib)</b>		$\iota a. (x \wedge y) = (\iota a. x) \wedge (\iota a. y)$
<b>(SelfDual)</b>		$\neg \iota a. x = \iota a. \neg x$

## n-filters

An n-filter of a nominal Boolean algebra with restriction is a finitely-supported subset  $F \in \mathcal{P}(B)$  s.t.

1.  $\perp \notin F$
2.  $x \in F$  and  $y \in F$  iff  $x \wedge y \in F$
3.  $\forall a. \forall x \in B. x \in F \Leftrightarrow \iota a. x \in F.$

Key lemma: An n-filter is maximal iff it is maximal amongst n-filters with smaller support.

# Nominal topologies

## Definition

A **nominal topological space**  $T$  is a pair  $(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  of a nominal set  $T$  and equivariant set of open sets  $\mathcal{O}_T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(T)$  such that:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{O}_T$  and  $T \in \mathcal{O}_T$
- $U \in \mathcal{O}_T \wedge V \in \mathcal{O}_T$  implies  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{O}_T$ .
- $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_T)$  implies  $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{O}_T$ ; we call this a finitely-supported union.

Morphisms of nominal topological spaces are continuous equivariant maps.



## Nominal topology on $\text{Ultr}(B)$

The maximal  $n$ -filters of a nominal Boolean algebra with restriction forms a nominal subset  $\text{Ultr}(B)$  of  $\mathcal{P}(B)$ .

Consider the nominal topology on  $\text{Ultr}(B)$  generated by the finitely-supported sets

$$\{F \in \text{Ultr}(B) \mid x \in F\}$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

## From $n\text{BA}_{\mathcal{M}}$ to nominal topological spaces

We have a contravariant functor  $S : n\text{BA}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow n\text{Top}$  defined by

$$B \mapsto (\text{Ultr}(B), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ultr}(B)}).$$

and for  $f : B \rightarrow B'$  we have  $S(f)(F') = f^{-1}(F')$  for a maximal  $n$ -filter  $F'$  of  $B'$

$S$  is faithful.

# Nominal Stone spaces with restriction

... is a nominal topological space  $(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$  that is:

1. totally-separated

2. **n-compact**:

A finitely-supported subset  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_T$  is called **n-stable** when

$$\forall a. \forall U. (U \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow na.U \in \mathcal{U}).$$

A nominal topological space is n-compact when every n-stable open cover has a finite subcover.

3. For all  $a \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{O}_T$  clopen implies  $na.U \in \mathcal{O}_T$ .

# A duality theorem

## Theorem

*The categories of nominal Boolean algebras with restriction and nominal Stone spaces are dually equivalent.*

# Nominal distributive lattices with restriction

A nominal distributive lattice with restriction is a nominal distributive lattice equipped with a restriction operation  $\iota : \mathbb{A} \times B \rightarrow B$  that satisfies:

<b>(Swap)</b>		$\iota a. \iota b. x = \iota b. \iota a. x$
<b>(Garbage)</b>	$a \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = x$
<b>(Alpha)</b>	$b \# x \Rightarrow$	$\iota a. x = \iota b. (b \ a) \cdot x$
<b>(Distrib)</b>		$\iota a. (x \wedge y) = (\iota a. x) \wedge (\iota a. y)$
<b>(SelfDual)</b>		$\iota a. (x \vee y) = (\iota a. x) \vee (\iota a. y)$

# Dualities for distributive lattices

The following three categories are isomorphic and dually equivalent to DL:

1. spectral spaces
2. Priestly spaces
3. pairwise Stone spaces

# Duality for nominal distributive lattices with restriction

A nominal bitopological space, that is a nominal set  $X$  equipped with two nominal topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , is called nominal pairwise Stone space when it is

1. **pairwise Hausdorff**: for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  there exists  $U \in \tau_1$  and  $V \in \tau_2$ , disjoint such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ , or there exists  $U \in \tau_2$  and  $V \in \tau_1$ , disjoint such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ .
2. **pairwise zero-dimensional**: the sets that are open in  $\tau_1$  and closed in  $\tau_2$  form a basis for  $\tau_1$  and the sets that are open in  $\tau_2$  and closed in  $\tau_1$  form a basis for  $\tau_2$ .
3. **pairwise n-compact**: for all n-stable  $\mathcal{U}_1 \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_1)$  and n-stable  $\mathcal{U}_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\tau_2)$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \bigcup \mathcal{U}_2$  covers  $X$  there exists a finite subset of  $\mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$  that covers  $X$ .
4. **pairwise n-closed**: if for all  $a \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $U \in \tau_1$  closed in  $\tau_2$  we have  $na.U \in \tau_1$  and for all  $a \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $U \in \tau_2$  closed in  $\tau_1$  we have  $na.U \in \tau_2$

# Duality for nominal distributive lattices with restriction

## Theorem

*The categories of nominal distributive lattices with restriction and nominal pairwise Stone spaces are dually equivalent.*



## Conclusions and future work

We have seen Stone type dualities for nominal Boolean algebras/distributive lattices with restriction.

Is restriction really necessary?

Applications ...