# Algebras, simulations, and provable ordinals

Peter Hancock

27 Apr 2011 ALCOP 2011, Bern

#### Gentzen's 'trick'

#### Beweisbarkeit und Unbeweisbarkeit von Anfangsfällen der transfiniten Induktion in der reinen Zahlentheorie\*).

Von

Gerhard Gentzen in Göttingen.

Section 2.2, concerned with provability:

2.2. Umformung einer TJ-Herleitung bis  $\omega_n$  in eine TJ-Herleitung bis  $\omega_{n+1} = \omega^{\omega_n}$ . (n bezeichne eine natürliche Zahl oder 0.)

### General location of topic

The topic has to do with algebras, specifically initial algebras for certain non-finitary functors such as

$$X \mapsto \mathbb{1} + X + (\mathbb{N} \to X)$$
 : Set  $\to$  Set  $P \mapsto \{a : O \mid seg \ a \subseteq P\}$  :  $\mathbb{P} O \to \mathbb{P} O$ .

In the indexed version, O is an ordered set (of ordinal notations),  $\mathbb{P}$  O is a type of predicates or set-valued functions on O, and  $seg_a$  is a cofinal family of immediate predecessors of a.

A lens is a transformer of algebras for such functors. It implements an arithmetic function at the level of ordinals, typically by means of an operation at the level of types.

# (Im)Predicative arithmetic

Suppose  $\mathbb{N} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \Pi_X(X \to X) \to X \to X$  is a possible value of X.  $\mathbb{N} \cong \Pi_X(FX \to X) \to X$  where FX = X + 1.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0_X(s,z) & = & z\\ (Suc \, n)_X(s,z) & = & s\left(n_X(s,z)\right)\\ m+n & = & n_{\mathbb{N}}\left(Suc,m\right)\\ 2^n & = & n_{\mathbb{N}}\left(m\mapsto m+m\right)\left(Suc\,0\right)
\end{array}$$

Suppose not.

$$(m+n)_X(s,z) = n_X(s,m_X(s,z))$$
  
 $(2^n)_X(s,z) = \pi_z$ 
 $n_X(s,m_X(s,z))$ 
 $n_X \to X$ 
carrier algebra

### L,U,D

If 
$$m_X, n_X : ((X+1) \to X) \to X$$
,  

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0_X & = & (\_, z) & \mapsto z \\
(m+n)_X & = & (s, z) & \mapsto n_X(s, m_X(s, z)) \\
(2^n)_X & = & a & \mapsto D_X a(n_{(LX)}(U_X a))
\end{array} \right\} : ((X+1) \to X) \to X$$

where

$$\begin{array}{lll} L & = & (X & : \mathsf{Set} & ) \mapsto X \to X & : \mathsf{Set} \\ U_X & = & ((s,\_) : (X+1) \to X) \mapsto (\mathsf{twice}, s) & : (LX+1) \to LX \\ D_X & = & ((\_,z) : \mathsf{ditto} & ) \mapsto (f : LX \mapsto fz) : LX \to X \end{array}$$

# Simulation of $\phi$ by (L, U, D)

The category is Set, the endofunctor F is something like

$$X \mapsto 1 + X + (\mathbb{N} \to X) : \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}$$

and  $\phi: \mu F \to \mu F$  is something like (2^), ( $\omega$ ^).

$$\mu F \xrightarrow{\phi} \mu F$$

$$|t_{LX}(U_X a)| \qquad |t_{LX} a|$$

$$F(LX) \xrightarrow{U_X a} LX \xrightarrow{D_X a} X \stackrel{a}{\longleftarrow} FX$$

$$It_X a \cdot \phi = D_X a \cdot It_{LX} (U_X a)$$

#### Indexed version

The category is  $Set^O$ , where O is a transitive order. The endofunctor F is something like:

$$(U:O\to\mathsf{Set})\mapsto\{a:O\,|\,\mathit{seg}\,\,a\subseteq U\}$$

where  $seg\ a$  is e.g. a cofinal family of immediate predecessors of a. (Or the entire initial segment of O below a.)

The algebras of F are *progressive* predicates. An *accessible* element is the least progressive predicate.  $Acc = \mu F$ .

The function  $\phi:O\to O$  is a symbolic function such as (2^),  $(\omega$ ^), or a section of the 2-place Veblen function over one of these, and  $\tilde{\phi}$  is a proof that the accessible part of O is closed under  $\phi$ , *i.e.*  $\exists_{\phi}Acc\to Acc$ .

$$\exists_{\phi} Acc \xrightarrow{\tilde{\phi}} Acc$$

$$\exists_{\phi} (It_{(LX)}(U_X a)) \Big| \qquad \qquad \downarrow It_X a$$

$$\exists_{\phi} (LX) \xrightarrow{D_{YA}} X$$

### Binary composition

Functions  $\phi, \psi: \Omega \to \Omega$  that have lenses are closed under composition:

$$\begin{array}{lll} & \textit{It} X \, \textbf{a} \cdot \phi \cdot \psi \\ &= & \textit{D}_{\phi} \, X \, \textbf{a} \cdot \textit{It} \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} \, X \big) \, \big( \textit{U}_{\phi} \, X \, \textbf{a} \big) \cdot \psi \\ &= & \textit{D}_{\phi} \, X \, \textbf{a} \cdot \textit{D}_{\psi} \, \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} \, X \big) \, \big( \textit{U}_{\phi} \, X \, \textbf{a} \big) \cdot \textit{It} \big( \textit{L}_{\psi} \, \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} \, X \big) \big) \, \big( \textit{U}_{\psi} \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} \, X \big) \, \big( \textit{U}_{\phi} \, X \, \textbf{a} \big) \big) \\ \text{So} \\ & \textit{L}_{\phi \cdot \psi} \quad = & \textit{L}_{\psi} \cdot \textit{L}_{\phi} \\ & \textit{U}_{\phi \cdot \psi} \, X \quad = & \textit{U}_{\psi} \, \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} X \big) \cdot \textit{U}_{\phi} \, X \\ & \textit{D}_{\phi \cdot \psi} \, X \, a \quad = & \textit{D}_{\phi} \, X \, a \cdot \big( \textit{D}_{\psi} \, \big( \textit{L}_{\phi} \, X \big) \cdot \textit{U}_{\phi} \, X \big) \, a \end{array}$$

## Infinitary composition: the derivative

Suppose that for  $n:\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\phi_n:\Omega\to\Omega$  is normal (strictly increasing and continuous) with lens  $(L_n,U_n,D_n)$ . Let  $\phi$  enumerate  $\{a:\Omega\mid (\Pi\,n:\mathbb{N})\, a=\phi_n\, a\}$ . (Veblen's *derivative*.) We can define (using transfinite *types*) a lens (L,U,D) for  $\phi$ . Not at all tricky, but a bit too lengthy to explain here.

### Lenses carry an algebra

Gentzen gave us a lens for  $(\omega^{\hat{}})$ . We have an operation taking a countable sequence of lenses to their derivative. So we have an algebra for the functor

$$X \mapsto 1 + X + (\mathbb{N} \to X)$$

The *carrier* is the (large) type

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Lens} = & (\Sigma \, \textit{L} : \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}) \\ & & [(X : \mathsf{Set}) \to (F \, X \to X) \to F \, (L \, X) \to L \, X] \\ & \times & [(X : \mathsf{Set}) \to (F \, X \to X) \to L \, X \to X] \end{array}$$

The structure map on lenses combines

- zero case: the Gentzen lens.
- successor case: the (unary) derivative operation (infinitary composition of a constant sequence).
- ▶ limit case: derivative of a sequence, infinitary composition.



#### 'Meta' lenses

The notion of 'lens' can be relativised to a universe of sets (U, T). We can use a 'meta'-lens (in the next universe) for  $+\omega^{\beta}$  to generate a lens (in this universe) for  $\phi_{\beta}$ .

This is a manifestation of what weirdly resembles an 'adjunction'

$$\Gamma \vdash_{\gamma}^{\alpha + \omega^{\beta}} A \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash_{\phi_{\beta}\gamma}^{\alpha} A 
[\alpha + \omega^{\beta}, \gamma] \cong [\alpha, \phi_{\beta}\gamma] 
(+\omega^{\beta}) + \phi_{\beta}$$

pervading sub- $\Gamma_0$  proof theory.

(Admittedly, this is more of a vivid hallucination than a precise conjecture.)



## Summary, and confession

- ▶ It seems (to me) indubitable that there is a lot of algebraic structure lurking beneath the surface of well-ordering proofs ('lower bounds'). The same can perhaps be said for ordinally informative cut-elimination proofs ('upper bounds').
- ▶ I don't really know how to properly capture algebraic structure in categorical terms. My hope is to interest someone here more adept than I with categorical concepts and techniques.

Over to you.

# Some details of infinitary composition

#### Given a sequence of lenses:

```
L_n : \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set}
U_n : (X : \mathsf{Set}) \to (FX \to X) \to F(L_n X) \to L_n X
D_n : (X : \mathsf{Set}) \to (FX \to X) \to L_n X \to X
\overline{L}_0 = id
\overline{L}_{n+1} = L_n : \overline{L}_n
```

$$\begin{array}{ll} \overline{L}_0 = id & \overline{L}_{n+1} = L_n \cdot \overline{L}_n \\ \overline{U}_0 X = id & \overline{U}_{n+1} X = U_n (\overline{L}_n X) \cdot \overline{U}_n X \\ \overline{D}_0 X_- = id & \overline{D}_{n+1} X a = \overline{D}_n X a \cdot (D_n (\overline{L}_n X) \cdot \overline{U}_n X) a \end{array}$$

```
Let L: \operatorname{Set} \to \operatorname{Set} be X \mapsto \Pi_n(\overline{L}_n X). Fix X: \operatorname{Set}, a: FX \to X.

Let I: (\mathbb{N} \to LX) \to LX be \xi, n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{.lim}} (m \mapsto \xi(m, n)).

Let \downarrow: LX \to LX be \xi, n \mapsto D_n(\overline{L}_n X)(\overline{U}_n X a)(\xi(n+1)).

Let \downarrow^\omega: LX \to LX be \xi \mapsto \mathfrak{l}(n \mapsto \downarrow^n \xi).

Let \mathfrak{s}: LX \to LX be \xi \mapsto \downarrow^\omega (n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{.succ}}(\xi n)).

Let \mathfrak{z}: LX be \downarrow^\omega (n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{.zero}}).
```

# Some details of infinitary composition

### Given a sequence of lenses:

```
L_n: \mathsf{Set} 	o \mathsf{Set}
U_n: (X: \mathsf{Set}) 	o (FX 	o X) 	o F(L_nX) 	o L_nX
D_n: (X: \mathsf{Set}) 	o (FX 	o X) 	o L_nX 	o X
\overline{L}_0 = id
\overline{L}_{n+1} = L_n \cdot \overline{L}_n
```

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_0 = id & L_{n+1} = L_n \cdot L_n \\ \overline{U}_0 X = id & \overline{U}_{n+1} X = U_n(\overline{L}_n X) \cdot \overline{U}_n X \\ \overline{D}_0 X_- = id & \overline{D}_{n+1} X a = \overline{D}_n X a \cdot (D_n(\overline{L}_n X) \cdot \overline{U}_n X) a \end{array}$$

Let  $L: \operatorname{Set} \to \operatorname{Set}$  be  $X \mapsto \Pi_n(\overline{L}_n X)$ . Fix  $X: \operatorname{Set}$ ,  $a: FX \to X$ . Let  $I: (\mathbb{N} \to LX) \to LX$  be  $\xi, n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{lim}} (m \mapsto \xi(m, n))$ . Let  $\downarrow: LX \to LX$  be  $\xi, n \mapsto D_n(\overline{L}_n X)(\overline{U}_n X a)(\xi(n+1))$ . Let  $\downarrow^\omega: LX \to LX$  be  $\xi \mapsto I(n \mapsto \downarrow^n \xi)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}: LX \to LX$  be  $\xi \mapsto \downarrow^\omega (n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{.succ}}(\xi n))$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}: LX$  be  $\downarrow^\omega (n \mapsto \overline{U}_n(X, a)_{\text{.zero}})$ . Then U(X, a) is  $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{s}, I)$ , and  $D(X, a) = \xi \mapsto \xi 0$ .